

**Tracy, Mary**

---

**From:** OFFICE RECEPTIONIST, CLERK  
**Sent:** Monday, May 01, 2017 8:57 AM  
**To:** Tracy, Mary  
**Subject:** FW: Proposed amendment to GR 30

Forwarding.

**From:** krc07 [mailto:krc07@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Sunday, April 30, 2017 8:06 PM  
**To:** OFFICE RECEPTIONIST, CLERK <SUPREME@COURTS.WA.GOV>  
**Subject:** Proposed amendment to GR 30

I oppose the proposed amendment to GR 30 that would permit electronic service of documents without agreement of the parties. Why force a party to accept electronic service if he or she has a legitimate reason for not wanting to do so? Possible hacking of email; the time and costs of sorting through email messages and printing attachments in a big case or in a high volume practice; and last minute electronic service in traffic infraction cases; all seem like legitimate concerns to me. If an attorney reasonably believes it's in his or her client's best interest to decline to accept electronic service because of issues like these, then I think the attorney should have the power to decline.

Kevin R. Cole  
Attorney  
WSBA no. 21369  
7010 35th Avenue NE  
Seattle, WA. 98115

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone